From: <u>Valerie Oster</u>

To: Andy Davis; Carl Stivers; Christine Hawley; David Ashton; Gene Revelas; James Peale; Jeff Peterson; Jerry

George; John Toll; Linda Baker; Mark lewis; Nancy Judd; Ray Walton; Sean Gormley; Walt Burt; Eric Blischke/R10/USEPA/US@EPA; ANDERSON.Jim@deq.state.or.us; Burt Shephard/R10/USEPA/US@EPA; Kristine Koch/R10/USEPA/US@EPA; csmith@parametrix.com; Taku Fuji; Laura Jones; Earl Hayter/ATH/USEPA/US@EPA; Rene Fuentes/R10/USEPA/US@EPA; Joe Goulet/R10/USEPA/US@EPA; Ben Cope/R10/USEPA/US@EPA; poulson.mike@deq.state.or.us; Bob Wyatt; Fred Wolf; Jan Betz; Jim McKenna; Patty Dost (Schwabe); Rick

Applegate; Valerie Oster

Subject: FW: Fate and Transport Meeting Action Items

Date: 04/03/2007 04:31 PM

Hi all -

Please see below for summary of agreements and action items from yesterday's Fate and Transport/FWM meeting.

thanks Valerie

Valerie Thompson Oster Anchor Environmental, L.L.C 6650 SW Redwood Lane, Suite 110 Portland, OR 97224

Phone: 503-670-1108 x19 Cell: 503-577-0254 Fax: 503-670-1128

This electronic message transmission contains information that is a confidential and/or privileged work product prepared in anticipation of litigation. The information is intended to be for the use of the individual or entity named above. If you are not the intended recipient, please be aware that any disclosure, copying, distribution or use of the contents of this information is prohibited. If you have received this electronic transmission in error, please notify us by electronic mail at woster@anchorenv.com.

From: Carl Stivers

Sent: Tuesday, April 03, 2007 4:23 PM

To: Valerie Oster

Subject: Fate and Transport Meeting Action Items

Valerie -

Please forward this to all of the relevant LWG and and EPA/Partner representatives.

Yesterday's meeting included extensive discussion of all components of the Hybrid Fate and Transport Model. The meeting included a review of objectives (agreed from last year), a status report of the model development agreed to in late November of last year, and issues/solutions identified for several aspects of the model. Schedule moving forward with the model development and data gaps analysis report was also discussed. I have not attempted to capture every issue that was discussed, but per meeting agreements, I have included the major agreements and action items that came out of the meeting.

Major agreements included:

- Use a 1 month time step for the Food Web Model, at least for model development
- Provide from the Abiotic Fate and Transfer Model monthly average site-wide concentrations, monthly averages for one "test" segment (to be determined per action items below), and average concentrations on a shorter time step for same test segement. These data will be used to understand the sensitivity and variation in Food Web Model based on various potential spatial and time scale assumptions.
- Conference call at 9:30 am on April 16th to discuss progress on action items.

The major action items (and some related notes) were:

- West Consultants will make some additional coding checks/changes to ensure mass conservation of sediment fluxes and resulting TSS daily averages.
- West and LWG will evaluate EPA comments on the EFDC model to determine
 the potential for and extent of additional revisions to the EFDC model to
 impact water/sediment fluxes passed off to the Abiotic Fate and Transport
 model for model testing and data gaps analyses purposes. It was agreed that
 Hybrid model development and testing would proceed based on this
 preliminary evaluation, and full incorporation of any EFDC model changes could
 not keep pace with the Hybrid Model development and data gaps analysis
 schedule. Potential schedule implications of this were discussed extensively.
- Carrie Smith and Carl Stivers to complete Abiotic Fate and Transport Model development including: final verification of the "BSF" parameter formulation, provide BSF equation to Burt Shephard and Windward to verify it is consistent with Food Web Model equation for the same parameter, tests on the full run time of the model to see if it takes too long to run, addition of TSS data from EFDC test model runs, develop a summary data table for transfer to the Food Web Model, review sedimentation/erosion rates in test runs relative to surface layer depth to see if assumption of no buried layer in the model appears adequate, make changes to chemical constants per decision on test chemical to be modeled (see below) and generally complete the build out of the model so that it can be run for a longer evaulation period. Also, will initiate a preliminary written description of the Abiotic F&T Model as proposed at this time to facilitate early team member review and understanding of this component of the hybrid model. Will also consider whether additional format changes to EFDC output table are needed to streamline Abiotic Fate and Transport Model data transfer and work with West on that as necessary.
- Burt Shephard and Windward will meet within two weeks to decide the best chemical to be used for the model and decide on ranges of parameter values for each parameter to be used in sensitivity analyses.

It was agreed that these action items should be completed within the next two weeks. This will allow the completion of full test model runs in late April and report write up in May per the revised schedule provide by the LWG via email prior to the meeting.

In addition, the issues of how the buried layer and external chemical loads are addressed in the Abiotic Fate and Transport Model were extensively discussed, but no major agreements were reached in terms of model changes. Some of the action items above related to further exploring the importance of these issues including the write up by Carrie and Carl of how these issues are addressed by the current model.

Please let m	ne and	everyone	know	if you	think I	missed	or poo	rly (described	anyth	ing.
Thanks.											

Carl